Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/771,801	SALE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ana M. Fortuna	1723
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Ana M. Fortuna.	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Payne</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 July 2005</u>	Time: <u>5</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  O. Type. Double Patennting.		
Claims discussed:  All.		
Prior art documents discussed:  Koiis , PCT'807.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE the attached Examiner's amendment was discussed and agree		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		•
•		
,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)